

State of California
The Resources Agency
Department of Water Resources
Division of Environmental Services

The Municipal Water Quality Investigations Program Summary and Findings from Data Collected August 1998 through September 2001



July 2003

Gray Davis Governor State of California Mary D. Nichols Secretary of Resources The Resources Agency Michael J. Spear Interim Director Department of Water Resources



Foreword

Water in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (the Delta) is a major source of drinking water for two-thirds of California's population. Delta waters originate mostly from precipitation in the Sierra, the Cascade Range, and the watersheds of the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys. Water from the Sierra and in the storage facilities outside the Delta are of high quality. When water traverses the complex Delta to diversion points, drinking water quality degrades.

Municipal Water Quality Investigations (MWQI), a program within the Division of Environmental Services of the California Department of Water Resources, is the only state program whose primary mission is to investigate and protect Delta drinking water quality. Since 1983, MWQI has been conducting comprehensive and systematic monitoring at various points near and within the Delta along the water transport path to the diversion locations.

This report summarizes and interprets MWQI monitoring data collected from August 1998 through September 2001 from 14 MWQI sampling stations. Major water quality constituents examined in this report include organic carbon, bromide, salinity, regulated organic and inorganic constituents in drinking water, and a few unregulated constituents of current interest. In addition to presenting the basic summary statistics, this report also discusses seasonal and spatial patterns, differences among stations, and sources of some constituents.

This and other MWQI reports are available online at the MWQI web site: http://www.wq.water.ca.gov/mwq/index.htm. For further information about the MWQI program, please visit its website or contact Richard S. Breuer, Program Manager, (916) 651-9687, or send your request to: P.O. Box 942836, Sacramento, CA 94236-0001.

Barbara McDonnell Chief, Division of Environmental Services

Barbara McDonnell

State of California Gray Davis, Governor

The Resources Agency Mary D. Nichols, Secretary for Resources

Department of Water Resources Michael J. Spear, Interim Director

L. Lucinda Chipponeri Deputy Director Peggy Bernardy Chief Counsel

Stephen VeriginActing Chief Deputy Director

Jonas Minton
Deputy Director

Peter Garris
Deputy Director

Vernon T. Glover
Deputy Director

Division of Environmental Services **Barbara McDonnell**, Chief

Office of Water Quality **Phil Wendt**, Chief

Municipal Water Quality Program Branch **Dan Otis**, Chief

Prepared under the direction of

Richard S. Breuer, Chief Municipal Water Quality Investigations

Prepared by
Fengmao Guo, Project Leader
Jaclyn Pimental

With assistance from William J. McCune

Murage Ngatia

Editorial review, graphics, and report production
Brenda Main, Supervisor of Technical Publications
Marilee Talley, Lead Editor
Joanne Pierce, Graphics Editor
Alice Dyer, Cover Design

Office of Water Quality Municipal Water Quality Program Branch

Quality Assurance/Quality Control
Bruce Agee, Chief
Murage Ngatia, Environmental Scientist
Jaclyn Pimental, Environmental Scientist

Field Support
David Gonzalez, Chief
Walt Lambert, WR Engineering Associate
Steven San Julian, Environmental Scientist

Office of Water Quality

Bryte Chemical Laboratory

William Nickels, Chief

Sid Fong, Public Health Chemist III (Supervisor)
Pritam Thind, Public Health Chemist III
Richard Hernandez, Public Health Chemist II
Josie Quiambao, Public Health Chemist II
Mark Betencourt, Public Health Chemist I
Maritza Pineda, Public Health Chemist I
Mercedes Tecson, Public Health Chemist I
David Nishimura, Public Health Chemist I
Elaine Chan, Laboratory Assistant
Marilyn Toomey, Laboratory Assistant
Shelly Bains-Jordan, Business Services Assistant

Acknowledgments

The authors thank our colleagues Mr. Bruce Agee, Mr. Rich Breuer, Ms. Carol DiGiorgio, Mr. David Gonzalez, Mr. Marvin Jung, and Mr. William McCune and also Mr. Richard Woodard of the State Water Contractors (SWC) for reviewing and providing valuable comments. Special thanks are extended to Dr. Paul Hutton of the SWC and Ms. Lisa Holm and Mr. Richard Denton for their feedback. We thank Mr. William McCune for his camaraderie and sharing his rich experience with us on numerous occasions. Special thanks to Mr. Bruce Agee for answering many database questions and resolving data retrieval problems, to Mr. William Nickels and Mr. Sid Fong for responding to many questions on chemical analysis and data quality, to Mr. Mike Zanoli for discussing Chapter 4 with us, and to Mr. Barry Montoya for clarifications on data from Banks and Delta-Mendota Canal stations. We are grateful to Mr. Michael McGinnis and Ms. Iris Yamagata of DWR's San Joaquin District for providing data for inclusion in this report. The authors are particularly grateful to Ms. Marilee Talley for her excellent editorial work, which helps improve the readability of this report. Special thanks are also extended to Ms. Joanne Pierce for creating the maps in this report. We thank Ms. Brenda Main for her enthusiastic support of this project. The MWQI program gratefully acknowledges support of the following State Water Project contractors:

Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Zone 7

Alameda County Water District

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

Casitas Municipal Water District

Castaic Lake Water Agency

Central Coast Water Authority

City of Yuba City

Coachella Valley Water District

Contra Costa Water District

County of Butte

County of Kings

Crestline-Lake Arrowhead Water Agency

Desert Water Agency

Dudley Ridge Water District

Empire-West Side Irrigation District

Kern County Water Agency

Littlerock Creek Irrigation District

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Mojave Water Agency

Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

Oak Flat Water District

Palmdale Water District

Plumas County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District

San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District

San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency

San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

Santa Clara Valley Water District

Solano County Water Agency

Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District

Content

Foreword	iii
Organization	v
Acknowledgments	vii
Executive Summary	1
Purpose	1
Background	1
Scope of Report	2
Summary of Findings	3
Organic Carbon	
Bromide	
Salinity	5
Other Constituents	
Recommendations	
Chapter 1 Introduction	15
Overview	
Monitoring Stations	
Definitions of Terms	
Chapter 2 Data Collection and Analysis	23
Selection of Constituents	23
Sample Collection	
Laboratory Analysis	
Data Quality	
Statistical Analysis	
Descriptive Plots	
Descriptive Statistics	
Nonparametric Statistical Methods	
Chapter 3 Watershed and Delta Hydrology	33
Precipitation	
Runoff Index	
Delta Outflows	
Chapter 4 Organic Carbon	43
Overview	
Ranges and Seasonality of Organic Carbon at Individual Stations	
American River	
Sacramento River	
San Joaquin River	
Delta Channel Stations	
Ranges and Seasonality of Organic Carbon at Diversion Stations	
Banks Pumping Plant	
Delta-Mendota Canal	
Contra Costa Pumping Plant	
1 0	

Organic Carbon Differences among Stations	
Sacramento River Stations	49
San Joaquin River Stations	50
Delta Channel Stations	51
Sacramento River vs. San Joaquin River	51
Organic Carbon Differences in Diversions Waters	51
Sources of Organic Carbon in Delta Waters	52
Agricultural Drainage Returns	52
Urban Sources	52
UVA ₂₅₄ and DOC Relationships	54
UVA ₂₅₄ and Organic Carbon Aromaticity	
Ranges of UVA ₂₅₄ in Delta Waters	
Relationships Between UVA ₂₅₄ and DOC in Delta Waters	
Specific UVA ₂₅₄ and DOC	
DBP Formation Potential of Delta Waters	
DBPFP at Delta Stations	56
DBPFP at Diversion Stations	
DBPFP Predictors	
TOC and DOC Relationships	
1	
Chapter 5 Bromide	89
Overview	
Seasonal Variations and Differences among Stations	
American River WTP and Sacramento River Stations	
San Joaquin River Stations	
Channel Stations	
Diversion Stations	
Sources of Bromide in Delta Waters	
Seawater Influence	
Recirculation of Bromide within the San Joaquin Valley	
Bromide from Delta Islands	
Urban Drainage	
The Relationship between Bromide and Chloride in Delta Waters.	
The relationship between Bronnae and Chroniae in Bona Waters	
Chapter 6 Electrical Conductivity and Salinity	107
General Relationships between EC and TDS in Delta Waters	
Ranges, Seasonality, and Differences among Stations	
American River at the Fairbairn WTP Intake	
Sacramento River Stations	
San Joaquin River Stations	
Channel Stations	
Diversion Stations	
Chloride and Sulfate	
Relationships Between EC and Major Cations	
Factors that Affect EC and Salinity in Delta Waters	
Seawater Influence	
In-Delta Agricultural Drainage	
Agricultural Drainage to the Sacramento River	
Agricultural Drainage to the San Joaquin River	
Urban Drainage in and near the Delta	
Ordan Dramage in and near the Delta	110

Chapter 7 pH, Alkalinity, Hardness, and Turbidity14	1
pH14	
Alkalinity14	
Hardness	
Turbidity14	
Chapter 8 Other Water Quality Constituents15	1
Constituents Affecting Taste, Odor, and Appearance15	1
Methyl Tertiary-Butyl Ether15	1
Metallic Constituents	2
Constituents Affecting Human Health152	2
Boron	3
Nutrients	4
Chapter 9 Data Quality Review	5
Overview16:	5
Field Procedures Quality Control	
Field Duplicates16:	
Field Blanks16	
Internal Quality Controls	
Sample Holding Times	
Method Blanks	
Laboratory Control Samples16	
Matrix Spike Recovery	
Matrix Spike Duplicates	
Sample Duplicates	
References	
Literature cited	
Personal communications	
Glossary19:	
Metric Conversion Factors	

Tables

Table	A Inorganic and miscellaneous constituents	7
Table	1-1 MWQI monitoring stations, 1998-2001	.19
Table	2-1 MWQI water sample collection and preservation	.27
	2-2 Analytical methods and reporting limits for	
	included constituents	.29
Table	3-1 Summary of daily precipitation (in inches) at	
	six weather stations	.37
Table	3-2 Summary of monthly precipitation (in inches) at six weather	
	stations	.37
Table	3-3 Hydrologic index classification based on measured unimpaired	
	runoff at selected rivers	.38
Table	4-1 Summary of organic carbon at 14 MWQI stations (mg/L)	.59
	4-2 Sample distribution and statistics of UVA ₂₅₄ and DOC during	
	the reporting period	.61
Table	4-3 Disinfection byproduct formation potential in Delta waters	
	4-4 Total disinfection byproduct formation potential at	
	two diversion stations	.62
Table	5-1 Summary of bromide at 14 MWQI stations (mg/L)	
	6-1 Summary of TDS/EC ratios at 14 MWQI stations1	
	6-2 Summary of electrical conductivity at 14 MWQI stations	
	(μS/cm)1	18
Table	6-3 Summary of statistics for total dissolved solids (mg/L)	
	6-4 Chloride and sulfate at 14 MWQI monitoring stations (mg/L)1	
	6-5 Summary of sodium, calcium, and magnesium at 14 MWQI	
	monitoring stations	21
Table	6-6 Electrical conductivity at stations on the San Joaquin River	
	and its major tributaries (µS/cm)1	22
Table	7-1 Summary of pH at 14 MWQI monitoring stations	
	7-2 Summary of alkalinity at 14 MWQI monitoring stations1	
	7-3 Summary of hardness at 14 MWQI monitoring stations1	
	7-4 Summary of turbidity at 14 MWQI monitoring stations1	
	8-1 Summary of MTBE at 14 MWQI monitoring stations	
	8-2 Summary of data for metallic constituents1	
	8-3 Summary of regulated constituents in drinking water having	
	federal and State primary MCLs	59
Table	8-4 Summary of boron at MWQI stations1	
	8-5 Summary of nitrate at 14 MWQI stations1	
	8-6 Summary of nutrient data at the Banks Pumping Plant	
	9-1 Field duplicates1	
	9-2 Total internal QC batches grouped by analyte1	
	9-3 Holding time exceedances	
	9-4 Method blank exceedances	
Table	9-5 Number of batches with method blank exceedances	75
	9-6 Environmental samples associated with method blank	
	exceedances	76
Table	9-7 Matrix spike recovery exceedances	
	9-8 Frequency of QC batches with matrix spike recovery	
	exceedances	81
Table	9-9 Samples with matrix spike recovery exceedances1	
	9-10 Matrix spike duplicate exceedances1	

Table 9-11 Number of matrix spike duplicate recovery exceedances	188
Table 9-12 Samples with matrix spike duplicate exceedances	188
Table 9-13 Sample duplicate exceedances	189
Table 9-14 Number of sample duplicate exceedances	189
Table 9-15 Samples with sample duplicate exceedances	190
• •	
Figures	
Figure A Total organic carbon: Range, median (mg/L)	Q
Figure B Bromide: Range, median (mg/L)	
Figure C Electrical conductivity: Range, median (µS/cm)	
Figure 1-1 MWQI monitoring stations, 1998–2001	
Figure 3-1 Location of selected weather stations (map)	
Figure 3-2 Cumulated monthly precipitation (in inches) at six weather	
stations	.41
Figure 3-3 Daily outflows at three Delta locations	
Figure 4-1 Monitoring stations near the City of Sacramento (map)	
Figure 4-2 Organic carbon at the American River and West Sacramento	
WTP Intake	.65
Figure 4-3 Organic carbon at Hood and Mallard Island stations	.66
Figure 4-4 Organic carbon at two San Joaquin River stations	.67
Figure 4-5 Organic carbon at two Old River stations	.68
Figure 4-6 Organic carbon at three Delta diversion stations	.69
Figure 4-7 Monthly TOC at three stations sampled at the same time	
interval (Loess smoothing parameter = 0.2)	
Figure 4-8 TOC at Vernalis and Highway 4	.71
Figure 4-9 Monthly TOC at two Old River stations (Loess smoothing	
parameter = 0.2)	
Figure 4-10 TOC: Sacramento River at Hood vs. San Joaquin River near	
Vernalis	./3
Figure 4-11 Monthly TOC at three Delta diversion stations	71
(Loess smoothing parameter = 0.2)	
Figure 4-12 Agricultural drainage returns (map)	
Figure 4-13 Organic carbon at two agricultural drainage stations	. / /
agricultural drainage returns (Loess smoothing parameter = 0.2)	78
Figure 4-15 Organic carbon at the Natomas East Main Drainage Canal	
Figure 4-16 Organic carbon sources in the City of Stockton (map)	
Figure 4-17 The relationship between UVA ₂₅₄ and DOC	
Figure 4-18 UVA254 and DOC relationships at low UVA ₂₅₄ ranges	
Figure 4-19 The relationship between SUVA ₂₅₄ and DOC	
Figure 4-20 Disinfection byproduct formation potential at two Delta	
diversion stations	.86
Figure 4-21 Disinfection byproduct formation potential, organic carbon,	
and SUVA ₂₅₄ relationships	.87
Figure 4-22 Relationship between TOC by two different methods and	
DOC in Delta source waters	
Figure 5-1 Bromide at two Sacramento River stations	.99
Figure 5-2 Bromide at two San Joaquin River stations	
Figure 5-3 Monthly bromide concentrations at two Old River stations	
Figure 5-4 Bromide at three diversion stations	
Figure 5-5 Bromide concentrations at the Mallard Island station	1()1

Figure 5-6 Bromide at two Delta agricultural pumping stations102
Figure 5-7 Bromide at the Natomas East Main Drainage Canal103
Figure 5-8 Bromide and chloride relationship at three stations104
Figure 5-9 The relationship between bromide and chloride at
10 stations
Figure 6-1 Relationship between EC and TDS at 14 MWQI stations123
Figure 6-2 Monthly EC and TDS at the American River WTP Intake124
Figure 6-3 Monthly EC and TDS at the West Sacramento WTP Intake124
Figure 6-4 Weekly EC and TDS at the Hood station
(Loess smoothing parameter = 0.05)125
Figure 6-5 Weekly EC and TDS at San Joaquin River near Vernalis
(Loess smoothing parameter = 0.05)125
Figure 6-6 Monthly EC and TDS at the San Joaquin River at
Highway 4126
Figure 6-7 Monthly EC and TDS at Old River at Station 9
Figure 6-8 Monthly EC and TDS at the Bacon Island Station on Old River127
Figure 6-9 Monthly EC and TDS at three Delta diversion stations128
Figure 6-10 EC, chloride, and sulfate relationships129
Figure 6-11 Relationships between EC and major cations at 14 MWQI
stations
Figure 6-12 Seawater influence in the Delta, 1921–1943 (map)131
Figure 6-13 Monthly EC and TDS at the Mallard Island station
Figure 6-14 Monthly EC and TDS at the agricultural pumping plants at
Bacon and Twitchell islands
Figure 6-15 Effects of rice drainage on EC at Greenes Landing
Figure 6-16 Stations in the San Joaquin River watershed from
Delta-Mendota Canal to Vernalis (map)137
Figure 6-17 EC and TDS at the Natomas East Main Drainage
Canal station
Figure 7-1 Weekly turbidity at Hood and Vernalis stations
Figure 7-2 Monthly turbidity at three diversion stations
Figure 8-1 Nitrate at three diversion stations and two river stations163
Figure 8-2 Nutrients at the Banks Pumping Plant Station
Appendices
Appendiocs
Appendix A Method for Conversion TOC by Combustion to TOC by
Oxidationback
Background
Approach
Data Conversion
Table A-1 Summary of converted TOC by combustion at 14 stations A-5
Figure A-1 Sample distribution by station and by month
Figure A-2 TOC (combustion) vs. TOC (oxidation): Data clusters and
regression equations for conversion
Appendix B Report and Data in Electronic Formatback